

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/766,895 12/13/96 DUNNING D 42390.P3991 **EXAMINER** LM02/0201 AL AU YEUNG VU, H BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SEVENTH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 2733 LOS ANGELES CA 90025

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/01/99



Applicant(s)

08/766,895

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Huy D. Vu

Group Art Unit 2733

Dunning et al.



☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fo in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11; 455 O.G. 215.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ESDONG WITHIN THE DELIGN TO TESPONSO 14111 OCCOO 1110
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F □ The drawing(s) filed on	to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. Ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). The priority documents have been Therefore Idernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 08/766895

Art Unit: 2733

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 2. It is noted that the newly submitted formal drawing of figure 3 does not contain the "prior art" label which was proposed in the proposed drawing correction filed on 6/1/98.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to teach how the bit pattern is chosen so as it directly provides information regarding routing the packet through the network in its encoded form. It is noted that although an example of how a 8B/10B coding scheme is used to encode, it is not found any where in the specification the description of how a bit pattern is chosen as claimed.

.

Application/Control Number: 08/766895

Art Unit: 2733

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 22, line 4, "the network" lacks proper antecedent basis. Similarly, In claim 25, line 4, "the network" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (USP 5,442,474) in view of Widmer et al. (In an article entitled "A DC-Balanced, Partitioned-Block, 8B/10B Transmisison Code."

Huang teaches a method for routing a packets of binary digital signals through a network comprising the step of receiving at a switch the packet of binary digital signals as encoded binary digital signals including a bit pattern (routing bits) and the step of copying the bit pattern (routing

Application/Control Number: 08/766895

Art Unit: 2733

bits) for decoding. Huang differs from the claim in that Huang does not teach that an encoding

Page 4

scheme such that when the bit pattern is encoded, it directly provides information regarding routing

the packet throught the network in its encoded form. However, 8B/10B coding scheme is well known

in the art for coding signals for transmission in a packet network. 8B/10B coding scheme converts

8 bits into 10 bits by adding two additional bits. For example, Widmer et al teaches an 8B/10B

transmission coding scheme which adds two bits into an 8-bits input to obtain a 10-bit coded output.

It si clear to one skilled in the art that the encoded 10 bit pattern directly provides information of the

8-bit input in its encoded form. Since Huang routing bit is 8-bit wide, its routing bit patern is suitable

for the 8B/10B transmission coding where the encoded 10 bit pattern directly provides the packet

routing information carried by the 8-bit routing pattern. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Widmer's teaching of using

8B/10B transmission coding scheme in Huang's system with the motivation being to improve

transmission reliability by being able to detect transmission errors.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2733

(703) 308-5403 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-6602. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-4729.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

HUY D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 31, 1999